Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,775	LIGHTSTONE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KENNETH TANG	2195
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>	
(1) <u>KENNETH TANG</u> .	(3)	
(2) Edward Van Gieson (Reg. No. 44,386).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 April 2009	Time: <u>10am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief describtion:		
,,		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: 40		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE It was agreed to correct the error of having two different claim 4 supplemental Examiner's Amendment was made to overcome t	Os in the claim set. The claims we	re improperly numbered and the
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Kenneth Tang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2195	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)